

REMARKS

At page 5 of the Office Action, the Examiner acknowledges that claims 4, 6, 10 and 12 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of original claim 4. Claim 7 has been amended to incorporate the limitations of original claim 10. Thus, the Applicants believe that claims 1 and 7 should now be allowed. Claims 4 and 10 have been canceled to avoid duplicity. Claims 2-3, 5-6, 8-9 and 11-12 should also be allowed, at least by virtue of their dependency from claim 1 or claim 7.

Disagreement matter of record

In section 1 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejects claims 1-3 and 7-9 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Fukuyoshi (US Patent No. 6,507,484). Moreover, in section 2, the Examiner rejects claims 5 and 11 under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuyoshi in view of Yang (US Patent No. 6,480,307). The Applicants respectfully disagree with the rejections and wish to make their disagreement a matter of record. The Applicants believe that the combination of Fukuyoshi and Yang does not show all limitations of claim 1 or claim 7, for example, "a second cover having at least one third connecting member, wherein the second cover combines with the frame by the engagement between the first connecting member and the third connecting member while the frame, the back light unit and the liquid crystal panel are located between the first cover and the second cover".

However, being desirous to conserve time and funds, the Applicants agree to adopt the Examiner's recommendations. The Applicants understand that claims 4, 6, 10 and 12 will be allowed if re-written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base and intervening claims.

The Applicants reserve the right to seek protection for any unclaimed subject matter either subsequently in the prosecution of the present case or in a divisional or continuation application.

The Applicants have attempted to address all of the issues raised by the Examiner in the Office Action as the Applicants understand them. The Applicants believe that the Application is now in condition for allowance. If any point requires further explanation, the Examiner is invited to telephone Troy Cai at (323) 934-2300 or e-mail Troy Cai at tcgai@ladasparry.com.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account No. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

Enclosed please find a copy of Troy Guangyu Cai's Notice of Limited Recognition under 35 CFR 10.9(b) to prepare and prosecute patent applications wherein the patent applicant is a client of Ladas & Parry, and

the attorney of record in the applications is a registered practitioner who is a member of Ladas & Parry.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Post Office with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on October 16, 2003

(Date of Deposit)

Troy Guangyu Cai

(Name of Person Signing)



(Signature)

10/16/2003

(Date)

Respectfully submitted,



Troy Guangyu Cai

Attorney for Applicant

LADAS & PARRY

5670 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 2100

Los Angeles, California 90036

(323) 934-2300